



**Guidance on
community
mental health
services**



Promoting person-centred and rights-based approaches



**World Health
Organization**

Executive summary

Mental health has received increased attention over the last decade from governments, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) and multilateral organizations including the United Nations (UN) and the World Bank. With increased awareness of the importance of providing person-centred, human rights-based and recovery-oriented care and services, mental health services worldwide are striving to provide quality care and support.

Yet often services face substantial resource restrictions, operate within outdated legal and regulatory frameworks and an entrenched overreliance on the biomedical model in which the predominant focus of care is on diagnosis, medication and symptom reduction while the full range of social determinants that impact people's mental health are overlooked, all of which hinder progress toward full realization of a human rights-based approach. As a result, many people with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities worldwide are subject to violations of their human rights – including in care services where adequate care and support are lacking.

To support countries in their efforts to align mental health systems and services delivery with international human rights standards, including the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), the WHO Guidance on community mental health services: Promoting person-centred and rights-based approaches calls for a focus on scaling up community-based mental health services that promote person-centred, recovery-oriented and rights-based health services. It provides real-world examples of good practices in mental health services in diverse contexts worldwide and describes the linkages needed with housing, education, employment and social protection sectors, to ensure that people with mental health conditions are included in the community and are able to lead full and meaningful lives. The guidance also presents examples of comprehensive, integrated, regional and national networks of community-based mental health services and supports. Finally, specific recommendations and action steps are presented for countries and regions to develop community mental health services that are respectful of peoples' human rights and focused on recovery.

This comprehensive guidance document is accompanied by a set of seven supporting technical packages which contain detailed descriptions of the showcased mental health services

- 1. Mental health crisis services**
- 2. Hospital-based mental health services**
- 3. Community mental health centres**
- 4. Peer support mental health services**
- 5. Community outreach mental health services**
- 6. Supported living for mental health**
- 7. Comprehensive mental health service networks**

Key messages of this guidance

- Many people with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities face poor-quality care and violations of their human rights, which demands profound changes in mental health systems and service delivery.
- In many parts of the world examples exist of good practice, community-based mental health services that are person-centred, recovery-oriented and adhere to human rights standards.
- In many cases these good practice, community-based mental health services show lower costs of service provision than comparable mainstream services.
- Significant changes in the social sector are required to support access to education, employment, housing and social benefits for people with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities.
- It is essential to scale up networks of integrated, community-based mental health services to accomplish the changes required by the CRPD.
- The recommendations and concrete action steps in this guidance provide a clear roadmap for countries to achieve these aims.

Introduction

Reports from around the world highlight the need to address discrimination and promote human rights in mental health care settings. This includes eliminating the use of coercive practices such as forced admission and forced treatment, as well as manual, physical or chemical restraint and seclusion^a and tackling the power imbalances that exist between health staff and people using the services. Sector-wide solutions are required not only in low-income countries, but also in middle- and high-income countries.

The CRPD recognizes these challenges and requires major reforms and promotion of human rights, a need strongly reinforced by the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). It establishes the need for a fundamental paradigm shift within the mental health field, which includes rethinking policies, laws, systems, services and practices across the different sectors which negatively impact people with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities.

Since the adoption of the CRPD in 2006, an increasing number of countries are seeking to reform their laws and policies in order to promote the rights to community inclusion, dignity, autonomy, empowerment and recovery. However, to date, few countries have established the policy and legislative frameworks necessary to meet the far-reaching changes required by the international human rights framework. In many cases, existing policies and laws perpetuate institutional-based care, isolation as well as coercive – and harmful – treatment practices.

Providing community-based mental health services that adhere to the human rights principles outlined in the CRPD—including the fundamental rights to equality, non-discrimination, full and effective participation and inclusion in society, and respect for people’s inherent dignity and individual autonomy – will require considerable changes in practice for all countries. Implementing such changes can be challenging in contexts where insufficient human and financial resources are being invested in mental health.

This guidance presents diverse options for countries to consider and adopt as appropriate to improve their mental health systems and services. It presents a menu of good practice options anchored in community-based health systems and reveals a pathway for improving mental health care services that are innovative and rights-based. There are many challenges to realizing this approach within the constraints that many services face. However, despite these limitations, the mental health service examples showcased in this guidance show concretely – it can be done.

Examples of good practice community mental health services

In many countries, community mental health services are providing a range of services including crisis services, community outreach, peer support, hospital-based services, supported living services and community mental health centres. The examples presented in this guidance span diverse contexts from, for example, the community mental health outreach service, Atmiyata, in India, to the Aung Clinic community mental health service in Myanmar and the Friendship Bench in Zimbabwe, all of which make use of community health care workers and primary health care systems. Other examples include hospital-based services such as the BET unit in Norway, which is strongly focused on recovery, and crisis services such as Tupu Ake in New Zealand. This guidance also showcases established supported living services such as the KeyRing Living Support Networks in the United Kingdom and peer-support services such as the Users and Survivors of Psychiatry groups in Kenya and the Hearing Voices Groups worldwide.

While each of these services is unique, what is most important is that they are all promoting a person-centred, rights-based, recovery approach to mental health systems and services. None is perfect, but these examples provide inspiration and hope as those who have established them have taken concrete steps in a positive direction towards alignment with the CRPD.

Each mental health service description presents the core principles underlying the service including their commitment to respect for legal capacity, non-coercive practices, community inclusion, participation and the recovery approach. Importantly, each service presented has a method of service evaluation, which is critical for the ongoing assessment of quality, performance and cost-effectiveness. In each case, service costs are presented as well as cost comparisons with regional or national comparable services.

These examples of good practice mental health services will be useful to those who wish to establish a new mental health service or reconfigure existing services. The detailed service descriptions in the technical packages contain practical insights into challenges faced by these services as they evolved, and the solutions developed in response. These strategies or approaches can be replicated, transferred or scaled up when developing services in other contexts. The guidance presents practical steps and recommendations for setting up or transforming good practice mental health services that can work successfully within a wide range of legal frameworks while still protecting human rights, avoiding coercion and promoting legal capacity.

Significant social sector changes are also required

In the broader context, critical social determinants that impact people's mental health such as violence, discrimination, poverty, exclusion, isolation, job insecurity or unemployment, and lack of access to housing, social safety nets, and health services, are factors often overlooked or excluded from mental health discourse and practice. In reality, people living with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities often face disproportionate barriers to accessing education, employment, housing and social benefits – fundamental human rights – on the basis of their disability. As a result, significant numbers are living in poverty.

For this reason, it is important to develop mental health services that engage with these important life issues and ensure that the services available to the general population are also accessible to people with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities.

No matter how well mental health services are provided though, alone they are insufficient to support the needs of all people, particularly those who are living in poverty, or those without housing, education or a means to generate an income. For this reason, it is essential to ensure that mental health services and social sector services engage and collaborate in a very practical and meaningful way to provide holistic support.

In many countries, great progress is already being made to diversify and integrate mental health services within the wider community. This approach requires active engagement and coordination with diverse services and community actors including welfare, health and judiciary institutions, regional and city authorities, along with cultural, sports and other initiatives. To permit such collaboration, significant strategy, policy and system changes are required not only in the health sector but also in the social sector.

Scaling up mental health service networks

This guidance demonstrates that scaling up networks of mental health services that interface with social sector services is critical to provide a holistic approach that covers the full range of mental health services and functions.

In several places around the world, individual countries, regions or cities have developed mental health service networks which address the above social determinants of health and the associated challenges that people with mental health and psychosocial conditions face daily.

Some of the showcased examples are well-established, structured and evaluated networks that have profoundly reshaped and reorganized the mental health system; others are networks in transition, which have reached significant milestones.

The well-established networks have exemplified a strong and sustained political commitment to reforming the mental health care system over decades, so as to adopt a human rights and recovery-based approach. The foundation of their success is an embrace of new policies and laws, along with an increase in the allocation of resources towards community-based services. For instance, Brazil's community-based mental health networks offer an example of how a country can implement services at large scale, anchored in human rights and recovery principles. The French network of East Lille further demonstrates that a shift from inpatient care to diversified, community-based interventions can be achieved with an investment comparable to that of more conventional mental health services.

Finally, the Trieste, Italy network of community mental health services is also founded upon on a human rights-based approach to care and support, and strongly emphasizes de-institutionalization. These networks reflect the development of community-based mental health services that are strongly integrated and connected with multiple community actors from diverse sectors including the social, health, employment, judiciary and others.

More recently, countries such as Bosnia and Herzegovina, Lebanon, Peru, and others, are making concerted efforts to rapidly expand emerging networks, and to offer community-based, rights-oriented and recovery-focused services and supports at scale. A key aspect of many of these emerging networks is the aim of bringing mental health services out of psychiatric hospitals and into local settings, so as to ensure the full participation and inclusion of individuals with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities in the community. While more time and sustained effort is required, important changes are already materializing. These networks provide inspiring examples of what can be achieved with political will, determination and a strong human rights perspective underpinning actions in mental health.

Key recommendations

Health systems around the world in low-, middle- and high-income countries increasingly understand the need to provide high quality, person-centred, recovery-oriented mental health services that protect and promote people's human rights. Governments, health and social care professionals, NGOs, organizations of persons with disabilities (OPDs) and other civil society actors and stakeholders can make significant strides towards improving the health and well-being of their populations by taking decisive action to introduce and scale up good practice services and supports for mental health into broader social systems while protecting and promoting human rights.

This guidance presents key recommendations for countries and organizations, showing specific actions and changes required in mental health policy and strategy, law reform, service delivery, financing, workforce development, psychosocial and psychological interventions, psychotropic drugs, information systems, civil society and community involvement, and research.

Crucially, significant effort is needed by countries to align legal frameworks with the requirements of the CRPD. Meaningful changes are also required for policy, strategy and system issues. Through the creation of joint policy and with strong collaboration between health and social sectors, countries will be better able to address the key determinants of mental health. Many countries have successfully used shifts in financing, policy and law as a powerful lever for mental health system reform. Placing human rights and recovery approaches at the forefront of these system reforms has the potential to bring substantial social, economic and political gains to governments and communities.

In order to successfully integrate a person-centred, recovery-oriented and rights-based approach in mental health, countries must change and broaden mindsets, address stigmatizing attitudes and eliminate coercive practices. As such, it is critical that mental health systems and services widen their focus beyond the biomedical model to also include a more holistic approach that considers all aspects of a person's life. Current practice in all parts of the world, however, places psychotropic drugs at the centre of treatment responses whereas psychosocial interventions, psychological interventions and peer support should also be explored and offered in the context of a person-centred, recovery and rights-based approach. These changes will require significant shifts in the knowledge, competencies and skills of the health and social services workforce.

More broadly, efforts are also required to create inclusive societies and communities where diversity is accepted, and the human rights of all people are respected and promoted. Changing negative attitudes and discriminatory practices is essential not just within health and social care settings, but also within the community as a whole. Campaigns raising awareness of the rights of people with lived experience are critical in this respect, and civil society groups can play a key strategic role in advocacy.

Further, as mental health research has been dominated by the biomedical paradigm in recent decades, there is a paucity of research examining human rights-based approaches in mental health. A significant increase in investment is needed worldwide in studies examining rights-based approaches, assessing comparative costs of service provision and evaluating their recovery outcomes in comparison to biomedical-based approaches. Such a reorientation of research priorities will create a solid foundation for a truly rights-based approach to mental health and social protection systems and services.

Finally, development of a human rights agenda and recovery approach cannot be attained without the active participation of individuals with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities. People with lived experience are experts and necessary partners to advocate for the respect of their rights, but also for the development of services and opportunities that are most responsive to their actual needs.

Countries with a strong and sustained political commitment to continuous development of community-based mental health services that respect human rights and adopt a recovery approach will vastly improve not only the lives of people with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities, but also their families, communities and societies as a whole.